ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾರದೂ ತಪ್ಪಿಲ್ಲ; ನಿಮ್ಮದೂ ತಪ್ಪಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರದೂ ತಪ್ಪಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ನನ್ನ ಡ್ಯೂಟಿಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಡ್ಯೂಟಿಯೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರದೂ ತಪ್ಪು ಇಲ್ಲ. ನನ್ನದೂ ತಪ್ಪು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಷ್ಟೇ ದುಡ್ಡು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಈ ಹೌಸ್ ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಿತು. ಆ ದುಡ್ಡೆಲ್ಲಾ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿತ್ತು. ರೀಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವ ವರೆಗೂ ಪುನಃ ದುಡ್ಡು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ 17ನೆಯ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 1959ರಿಂದ 15ನೆಯ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1960ರವರೆಗೆ ಡೀಲೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—1958ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಪೇಜ್ 18ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರೇಕುರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಒಂದುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಆಕ್ಷನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದು ಏನಾಯಿತು ಎನ್ನುವ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನೀವು ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಆಗಿ ಕೇಳಿ. ಅದನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಕಾರೆಕುರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬಾವಿ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ನೀರು ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಮಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಇಫರ್ ಮೇಷನ್ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಕಟ್ಟೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ವರೆಗೂ ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ).

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The Hon'ble Minister pleaded that this House was in the way to sanction additional grants. Arising out of that, I am asking they have taken sanction of the Legislature for a sum equal to Rs. 3½ crores and in the whole of it, there is not one Demand for additional drinking water wells. Why did you not include it ? Do you mean to say that the House would not sanction it ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದು ಎಲ್. ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ರೀ ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. We have sanctioned Rs. 1,57,000 extra in the month of February to the Development Commission for rural water supply.

———

Equation of posts of Sub-Registrars of Hyderabad Karnatak Area.

*Q.—231. **Sri BALAWANT RAO** (Bhalki).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Sub-Registrars from Hyderabad Karnatak area, who are of I grade and II grade and the number of graduates among them ;

(*b*) the grade to which the Sub-Registrars of Hyderabad Karnatak area have been equated and the basis of equation ;

(*c*) the difference, if any, between the 1st and the 2nd grade Sub-Registrars in duties, jurisdiction, and responsibility ;

(*d*) whether the higher grade Sub-Registrars' posts are filled up, region-wise and if so, on what basis ?

A.—**Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA** (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*)—

I Grade	...	Nil
II Grade	...	16
Graduates	...	8

(*b*) The Sub-Registrars of Hyderabad Area are equated to the II Grade Sub-Registrars of old Mysore Area, carrying the pay scale of Rs. 75–5–90–6–150–10–180. The basis of equation is as follows :

(i) Nature and duties of the post ;
(ii) Responsibilities and powers exercised by the officer holding the post, extent of territorial and other charge held or responsibilities discharged ;
(iii) The minimum qualification, if any, prescribed for recuitment to the post ; and
(iv) The salary of the post.

(*c*) Nil.
(*d*) No.

Sri BALAWANT RAO.—May I know the reasons for not promoting a single graduate to the First Grade Sub-Registrar ?

†**Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.**—They take their chance according to seniority and merit.

Sri BALAWANT RAO.—May I know what are the minimum qualifications for recruitment to First Grade Sub-Registrar ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I would like to draw the attention of the Hon'ble Member to the Cadre Recruitment Rules of the Sub-Registrars of the Registration Department. I would like

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA)

to tell you the qualification: Promotion to first grade Sub-Registrars will be 75 per cent by promotion from the Second Grade Sub-Registrars and for Second Grade Sub-Registrars, 25 per cent by promotion from among Second and First Division Clerks, and 75 per cent by direct recruitment; the qualification prescribed is a pass in Intermediate or Pre-University or equivalent qualification.

Sri BALAWANT RAO.—Has the Government promoted any Second Grade Sub-Registrar to the First Grade Sub-Registrar?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I am told that since the preparation of the provisional inter-State lists no promotions have been made from the II Grade to the First Grade.

Development of Karwar Port as a major or all-weather port.

*Q.—233. Sri S. D. GAONKAR (Karwar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether there is any proposal before the Government to convert the Port of Karwar into a major or all-weather port;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the exporters and importers from Karwar in the North Kanara District are experiencing difficulties to load and unload the cargo on account of the Port being not properly developed;

(*c*) how much cargo is being annually handled including that of iron ore and timber by the Karwar Port?

*A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) The question of development of Karwar port is being examined by the Intermediate Ports Development Committee appointed by the Government of India to consider and make recommendations about the intensive development of minor ports in the country. The report of the Committee is awaited. In the meantime, development of the port during the Third Plan at a cost of Rs. 31.50 lakhs by providing a wharf and other facilities is proposed.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Particulars of cargo handled at the Port since 1957-58 are furnished below:—

Year	*Import Tons*	*Export Tons*	*Total Tons*
1957-58	3,090	29,658	32,748
1958-59	4,795	85,927	90,722
1959-60	2,353	1,29,682	1,32,035

(10 months upto 31st January 1960).

Sri S. D. GAONKAR.—With reference to answer (*a*), may I know whether the State Government have moved the Government of India to take up this work and if they have not done so far, at least will the Government think it advisable to move the Government of India now in view of the day-to-day increasing pressure on the Karwar Port?

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We have moved the Government of India with regard to Karwar Port also.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—Are the Government aware that the Port of Karwar has all the natural facilities which will make it an eminently major and all weather port? If so, what steps have been taken to make it a major port by this Government and whether they are vigorously pursuing the matter with the Government of India?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We are aware of the natural facilities available at Karwar. Even the Intermediate Ports Committee was impressed by the Karwar Port. It is not in our hands to convert it into a mojor port. We have said to the Government of India that so far as the Government of Mysore is concerned, we want a port to be developed in the Mysore State, whether it is Karwar, or Malpe or Mangalore. But we do not want the matter to be delayed in the choice of the place. Now Karwar is also